

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/993,748	11/14/2001	Avi J. Ashkenazi	P2730P1C23	4944
35489	7590 03/09/2004		EXAM	INER
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP			LANDSMAN, ROBERT S	
275 MIDDLE	FIELD ROAD K. CO 94025-3506		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MEI (EO IIII	, ,		1647	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/993,748	GENENTECH, INC.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Robert Landsman	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 119-131 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 119-131 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje rawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applica ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/02</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### 1. Formal Matters

A. The Preliminary Amendment dated 11/14/01, has been entered into the record.

B. Claims 119-131 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.

#### 2. Priority

Due to the excessive number of applications from which the present application claims benefit, priority cannot be determined. However, the Examiner has concluded that the subject matter defined in this application is not supported by any of the applications in the chain of priority because the presently claimed subject matter is not supported by a specific, substantial or well-established utility, nor, for this reason, is it enabled. Accordingly, the subject matter defined in claims 119-131 has an effective filing date of 11/14/01, which is the filing date of the present application.

Should the applicant disagree with the examiner's factual determination above, it is incumbent upon the applicant to provide the serial number and specific page number(s) of any parent application filed prior to 11/14/01 which specifically supports the particular claim limitation for each and every claim limitation in all the pending claims which applicant considers to have been in possession of and fully enabled for prior to 11/14/01.

#### 3. Information Disclosure Statement

A. References A1 and A2 have been lined through since they are not in proper format, including author and date of deposit.

#### 4. Specification

A. Though none could be found, due to the length of the specification, Applicants are reminded that embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code are not permitted in the specification. See MPEP § 608.01.

B. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title recites polypeptides and polynucleotides whereas the claims are drawn to polypeptides.

#### 5. Claim Objections

A. The syntax of claims 119-131 could be improved by replacing the phrase "shown in Figure 228 (SEQ ID NO:314)" with "of SEQ ID NO:314."

#### 6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A. Claims 119-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility. These claims are directed to polypeptides having various sequence homology to SEQ ID NO:314. However, the invention encompassed by these claims has no apparent or disclosed patentable utility. This rejection is consistent with the current utility guidelines, published 1/5/01, 66 FR 1092. The instant application has provided a description of an isolated protein. However, the instant application does not disclose a specific and substantial biological role of this protein or its significance.

However, it is clear from the instant specification that the claimed protein is what is termed an "orphan receptor" in the art. The instant application does not disclose the biological role of the claimed protein or its significance. Applicants disclose in the specification that the receptor has certain amino acid sequence identity with microfibril-associated glycoprotein 4 (MFA4 HUMAN); ficolin-A - Mus musculus (M0078131); human lectin P35 (D63155561); ficolin B - Mus musculus (AF00632171); human tenascin-R (restriction) (HS518E13 1); the long form of a rat janusin precursor (A45445); fibrinogen-related protein HFREP-I precursor (JNO596); a human Tenascin precursor (TENA HUMAN); hllman CDT6 (HSY16132 1); and angiopoietin-I - Mus musculus (MM1.183509 1). Therefore, Applicants believe that NL7 disclosed the present application is a novel TIE ligand homologue, and may play a role in angiogenesis and/or vascular maintenance and/or wound healing and/or inflammation and/or tumor development and/or growth. However, homology alone is not sufficient to demonstrate utility of the present invention. There is little doubt that, after complete characterization, this protein will probably be found to have a patentable utility. This further characterization, however, is part of the act of invention and, until it has been undertaken, Applicants' claimed invention is incomplete.

The instant situation is directly analogous to that of which was addressed in Brenner v. Manson, 148 U.S.P.Q. 689 (Sus. Ct, 1966), in which a novel compound which was structurally analogous to other compounds which were known to possess anticancer activity was alleged to be potentially useful as an

Application/Control Number: 09/993,748

Art Unit: 1647

antitumor agent in the absence of evidence supporting this utility. The court expressed the opinion that all chemical compounds are "useful" to the chemical arts when this term is given its broadest interpretation. However, the court held that this broad interpretation was not the intended definition of "useful" as it appears in 35 U.S.C. 101, which required that an invention must have either an immediate obvious or fully disclosed "real-world" utility. The court held that:

"The basic quid pro quo contemplated by the Constitution and the Congress for granting a patent monopoly is the benefit derived by the public from an invention with substantial utility," "[u]nless and until a process is refined and developed to this point - where specific benefit exists in currently available form – there is insufficient justification for permitting an applicant to engross what may prove to be a broad field," and "a patent is not a hunting license," "[i]t is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion."

The specification discloses that the polynucleotides of the invention encode proteins which have significant sequence similarity to known proteins. Based on the structural similarity, the specification asserts that the newly disclosed SEQ ID NO:314 has similar activities. The assertion that the disclosed proteins have biological activities similar to known proteins cannot be accepted in the absence of supporting evidence, because generally, the art acknowledges that function cannot be predicted based solely on structural similarity to a protein found in the sequence databases. For example, Skolnick et al. (2000, Trends in Biotech. 18:34-39) state that knowing the protein structure by itself is insufficient to annotate a number of functional classes, and is also insufficient for annotating the specific details of protein function (see Box 2, p. 36). Similarly, Bork (2000, Genome Research 10:398-400) states that the error rate of functional annotations in the sequence database is considerable, making it even more difficult to infer correct function from a structural comparison of a new sequence with a sequence database (see especially p. 399). Such concerns are also echoed by Doerks et al. (1998, Trends in Genetics 14:248-250) who state that (1) functional information is only partially annotated in the database, ignoring multi functionality, resulting in underpredictions of functionality of a new protein and (2) overpredictions of functionality occur because structural similarity often does not necessarily coincide with functional similarity. Smith et al. (1997, Nature Biotechnology 15:1222-1223) remark that there are numerous cases in which proteins having very different functions share structural similarity due to evolution from a common ancestral gene.

Brenner (1999, Trends in Genetics 15:132-133) argues that accurate inference of function from homology must be a difficult problem since, assuming there are only about 1000 major gene superfamilies in nature, then most homologs must have different molecular and cellular functions. Finally, Bork et al. (1996, Trends in Genetics 12:425-427) add that the software robots that assign

Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

functions to new proteins often assign a function to a whole new protein based on structural similarity of a small domain of the new protein to a small domain of a known protein. Such questionable interpretations are written into the sequence database and are then considered facts.

Therefore, based on the discussions above concerning the specific examples of structurally similar proteins that have different functions, along with the art's recognition that one cannot rely upon structural similarity alone to determine functionality, the specification fails to teach the skilled artisan the utility of the protein of SEQ ID NO:314 which is only known to be homologous to various receptors. Therefore, the instant claims are drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a protein which has a yet undetermined function or biological significance. There is no actual and specific significance which can be attributed to said protein identified in the specification. For this reason, the instant invention is incomplete. In the absence of a knowledge of the natural ligands or biological significance of this protein, there is no immediately obvious patentable use for it. To employ a protein of the instant invention in the identification of substances which bind to and/or mediate activity of the said receptor is clearly to use it as the object of further research which has been determined by the courts to be a non-patentable utility. Since the instant specification does not disclose a "real-world" use for said protein then the claimed invention is incomplete and, therefore, does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101 as being useful.

Furthermore, since the protein of the invention is not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, the encoding polynucleotides and chimeric proteins also lack utility.

#### 7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

A. Claims 119-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to use the instant invention. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

B. Claims 119-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The deposit of the biological material is considered necessary for the enablement of the current invention (see MPEP Chapter 2400 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.801-1.809). Elements required for practicing a claimed invention must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If a deposit (203128) is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure (e.g. see 961 OG 21, 1977), and Applicants, their assignee or their agent needs to provide a declaration containing the following:

- 1. the current address of the ATCC.
- a declaration, or statement over attorney's signature stating that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited biological material be irrevocably removed upon the granting of the patent (see MPEP Chapter 2410.01 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.808.
- C. Furthermore, even if the claims possessed utility under 35 USC 101, claims 119-131 would still be rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while then being enabling for SEQ ID NO:313 and 314, does not reasonably provide enablement for polypeptides having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:314, to the protein encoded by ATCC No. 203128, for the extracellular domain thereof, or for fusion proteins. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no functional limitation in the claims. The claims encompass an unreasonable number of inoperative polypeptides, or polynucleotides which encode these polypeptides, which the skilled artisan would not know how to use.

There are no working examples of polynucleotides or polypeptides less than 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:313 or 314, or the mature form thereof (i.e. lacking its signal peptide). The skilled artisan would not know how to use non-identical polypeptides on the basis of teachings in the prior art or specification unless they possessed a specific function disclosed in the instant specification, in which there is none. While the specification generally describes homologous proteins, Applicants still have not taught to which family of proteins the protein of the present invention belongs. The specification does not provide guidance for using polynucleotides encoding polypeptides related to (i.e., 80%-99% identity) but not identical to SEQ ID NO:313 or 314 which do not have any specific, known function. The claims are broad because they do not require the claimed polypeptide to be identical to the disclosed sequence and because the claims have no functional limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,748

Art Unit: 1647

For these reasons, which include the complexity and unpredictability of the nature of the invention and art in terms of the diversity of proteases and lack of knowledge about function(s) of encompassed polypeptides structurally related to SEQ ID NO:314, the lack of direction or guidance for using polypeptides that are not identical to SEQ ID NO:314, and the breadth of the claims for structure without function, it would require undue experimentation to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

#### 8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - written description

A. Claims 119-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are drawn to polypeptides having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 99% sequence identity with SEQ ID NO:314, and fusion proteins thereof. The claims do not require that the polypeptide of the present invention possess any particular biological activity, nor any particular conserved structure, or other disclosed distinguishing feature. Thus, the claims are drawn to a genus of polypeptides that is defined only by sequence identity.

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of compete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a partial structure in the form of a recitation of percent identity. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless

of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only isolated polypeptides comprising the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:314, or encoded by SEQ ID NO:313, but not the full breadth of the claims, meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

#### 9. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 119-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. Claims 119-131 are vague and indefinite since it is not clear whether or not the protein of the present invention is a soluble protein (e.g protease), nor is it disclosed as being expressed on a cell surface. Accordingly, the limitation that the claimed protein comprises an "extracellular domain" is indefinite, as the art does not recognize soluble proteins as having such domains. Further, if the protein had an extracellular domain, the recitation of "the extracellular domain"..."lacking its associated signal sequence" is indefinite as a signal sequence is not generally considered to be part of an extracellular domain, as signal sequences are cleaved from said domains in the process of secretion from the cell.

#### 10. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

A. Claims 119-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baker et al. (WO 99/63088). The claims recite an isolated polypeptide at least 80% identical to SEQ ID NO:314 as well as polynucleotides encoding this protein, extracellular domains and chimeric polypeptides. Baker et al. teach a protein which is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:314 of the present invention (Sequence Comparison A and B). This protein would encompass all of the claimed variants of that of the present invention. Baker also teach chimeric peptides (page 350, line 15).

#### 11. Art of Interest

A. Fernandez et al. teach a protein which is 60.5% identical to that of SEQ ID NO:314 of the present invention (Sequence Comparison C).

#### 12. Conclusion

A. No claim is allowable.

#### Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 March 05, 2004

HOBERT LANDSMAN
PATENT EXAMINER

```
TD
     AAY66727 standard; protein; 461 AA.
 XX
 DT
     05-APR-2000 (first entry)
 XX
 DE
     Membrane-bound protein PRO1346.
 XX
 KW
     Membrane-bound polypeptide; PRO polypeptide; LDL receptor; TIE ligand;
 KW
     pharmaceutical; receptor immunoadhesin; gene mapping.
 XX
 OS
     Homo sapiens.
 XX
     WO9963088-A2.
 PN
 XX
 PD
     09-DEC-1999.
XX
 PF
     02-JUN-1999;
                   99WO-US12252.
XX
 PR
     02-JUN-1998;
                   98US-0087607.
XX
PA
     (GETH ) GENENTECH INC.
XX
ΡI
     Baker K, Chen J, Goddard A, Gurney AL, Smith V, Watanabe CK:
     Wood WI, Yuan J;
PΙ
XX
DR
     WPI; 2000-072883/06.
DR
     N-PSDB; AAZ65071.
XX
PT
     Membrane-bound proteins and related nucleotide sequences -
XX
PS
     claim 12; Fig 228; 822pp; English.
XX
CC
     The invention provides membrane-bound PRO polypeptides and
CC
     polynucleotides encoding them. The PRO sequences of the invention were
CC
     identified based on extracellular domain homology screening. The PRO
CC
     sequences have homology with proteins including LDL receptors, TIE
CC
     ligands and various enzymes. The membrane-bound proteins and receptor
CC
     molecules are useful as pharmaceutical and diagnostic agents. Receptor
     immunoadhesins, for instance, can be used as therapeutic agents to block
CC
     receptor-ligand interactions. The membrane-bound proteins can also be
CC
CC
     employed for screening of potential peptide or small molecule inhibitors
CC
     of the relevant receptor/ligand interaction. The PRO encoding sequences
CC
     are useful as hybridization probes, in chromosome and gene mapping and in
     the generation of antisense RNA and DNA. PRO nucleic acid sequences
CC
CC
     will also be useful for the preparation of PRO polypeptides, especially
CC
     by recombinant techniques.
XX
SQ
     Sequence
               461 AA;
  Query Match
                        100.0%; Score 2450; DB 21; Length 461;
  Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 5.5e-225;
  Matches 461; Conservative
                              0; Mismatches
                                               0; Indels
Qу
           1 MVNDRWKTMGGAAQLEDRPRDKPQRPSCGYVLCTVLLALAVLLAVAVTGAVLFLNHAHAP 60
             Db
           1 MVNDRWKTMGGAAQLEDRPRDKPQRPSCGYVLCTVLLALAVLLAVAVTGAVLFLNHAHAP 60
          61 GTAPPPVVSTGAASANSALVTVERADSSHLSILIDPRCPDLTDSFARLESAQASVLQALT 120
Qy
             Db
          61 GTAPPPVVSTGAASANSALVTVERADSSHLSILIDPRCPDLTDSFARLESAQASVLQALT 120
         121 EHQAQPRLVGDQEQELLDTLADQLPRLLARASELQTECMGLRKGHGTLGQGLSALQSEQG 180
Qу
             Db
         121 EHQAQPRLVGDQEQELLDTLADQLPRLLARASELQTECMGLRKGHGTLGQGLSALQSEQG 180
```

	11111111			KADLQRAPARGTRPRO	CAIGSR 240
Db 18					GCATGSR 240
Qy 24			PTHYPAGFQVYCDMRTD		
Db 24			PTHYPAGFQVYCDMRTD		
Qy 30			ALTTQAAYELHVDLEDF		FSVDPE 360
Db 30			ALTTQAAYELHVDLEDF	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	JFSVDPE 360
Qy 36		ADYSGTAGDSLLKF	HSGMRFTTKDRDSDHSE	NNCAAFYRGAWWYRNC	CHTSNLN 420
Db 36	1 EDGYPLTVA	ADYSGTAGDSLLKI	HSGMRFTTKDRDSDHSE	NNCAAFYRGAWWYRNC	CHTSNLN 420
				111	
Db 42:	1 GQYLRGAHA	ASYADGVEWSSWTO	GWQYSLKFSEMKIRPVR	EDR 461	
	l standard;	cDNA; 3010 E	3P.	Sacrana	C 22 22 22 1 5/22
XX PN WO996308 XX	88-A2.			Jequence	Comparism
PD 09-DEC-I XX	1999.				B
	e 3010 BP;	497 A; 1045 C	C; 938 G; 530 T;	0 other;	
Alignment Sco Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB:	.arity:	1.09e-191 2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Length: Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps:	3010 461 0 0	
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB:	.arity: .milarity:	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps:	461 0 0 0	
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729	arity: milarity: PA-314 (1-4)	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps:	461 0 0 0 0	ProArq 20
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729	.arity: .milarity: .0A-314 (1-4) . MetValAsn	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps:	461 0 0 0 0 0	11111
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1	arity: milarity: A-314 (1-4) MetValAsni         ATGGTCAACO	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT            GACCGGTGGAAGA GlnArgProSerC	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps: (1 (1-3010) ChrMetGlyGlyAlaAla              CCATGGGCGGCGCTGCG	461 0 0 0 0 0 aGlnLeuGluAspArg	 CCGCGC 60 LeuAla 40
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1	arity: milarity:  A-314 (1-4)  MetValAsn           ATGGTCAACO	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT                GACCGGTGGAAGA GlnArgProSerC	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps: (1 (1-3010) ChrMetGlyGlyAlaAla	461 0 0 0 0 0 aGlnLeuGluAspArg	 CCGCGC 60 LeuAla 40
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1 Qy 21	.arity: .milarity: .Ma-314 (1-4) .MetValAsni .Hill     .ATGGTCAACO .AspLysProof .Hill     .GACAAGCCGO	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT             GACCGGTGGAAGA GInArgProSerC             CAGCGGCCGAGCT	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps: (1 (1-3010) (hrMetGlyGlyAlaAla) (CCATGGGCGGCGCTGCG) (ysGlyTyrValLeuCys (	461 0 0 0 0 0 aGlnLeuGluAspArg             CCAACTTGAGGACCGG  ThrValLeuLeuAla	 CCGCGC 60 LeuAla 40        CTGGCT 120 AlaPro 60
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1 Db 61	.arity: .milarity: .Ma-314 (1-4) .MetValAsni .ATGGTCAACO .AspLysPro( .HIHHHH .GACAAGCCGO	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT             GACCGGTGGAAGA GInArgProSerC             CAGCGGCCGAGCT	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps: (1 (1-3010) ChrMetGlyGlyAlaAla              CCATGGGCGGCGCTGCC	461 0 0 0 0 0 aGlnLeuGluAspArg             CCAACTTGAGGACCGG SThrValLeuLeuAla	 CCGCGC 60 LeuAla 40        CTGGCT 120 AlaPro 60
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1 Qy 21 Db 61 Qy 41 Db 121	.arity: .milarity: .milarity: .Ma-314 (1-4) .MetValAsni .Hill    .ATGGTCAACC .AspLysProc .Hill    .GACAAGCCGC .ValLeuLeul .Hill    .GTGCTGCTGC	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT             GACCGGTGGAAGA GInArgProSerC             CAGCGGCCGAGCT AlaValAlaValT            GCTGTAGCTGTCA	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps:  (1 (1-3010)  ChrMetGlyGlyAlaAla	461 0 0 0 0 0 aGlnLeuGluAspArg              CCAACTTGAGGACCGG  ThrValLeuLeuAla             CACCGTGCTGCTGGCC	 CCGCGC 60 LeuAla 40        CTGGCT 120 AlaPro 60        GCGCCG 180
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1 Qy 21 Db 61 Qy 41 Db 121 Qy 61 Db 181	.arity: .milarity: .milarity: .milarity: .A-314 (1-4) .MetValAsnATGGTCAACC .ASPLYSProc .IIIIIIII .GACAAGCCGC .ValLeuLeux .IIIIIIIII .GTGCTGCTGC	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT             GACCGGTGGAAGA GInArgProSerC             CAGCGGCCGAGCT AlaValAlaValT           GCTGTAGCTGTCA	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps:  (1 (1-3010)  ChrMetGlyGlyAlaAla	461 0 0 0 0 0 caGlnLeuGluAspArg               CCAACTTGAGGACCGG  SThrValLeuLeuAla              CACCGTGCTGCTGGCC  ELeuAsnHisAlaHis              CCTGAACCACGCCCACC	 CCGCGC 60 LeuAla 40        CTGGCT 120 AlaPro 60        GCGCCG 180 LeuVal 80 
Pred. No.: Score: Percent Simil Best Local Si Query Match: DB: US-09-989-729 Qy 1 Db 1 Qy 21 Db 61 Qy 41 Db 121 Qy 61 Db 181	.arity: .milarity: .mi	2450.00 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 21 61) x AAZ6507 AspArgTrpLysT             GACCGGTGGAAGA GInArgProSerC              CAGCGGCCGAGCT AlaValAlaValT            GCTGTAGCTGTCA ProProProValVa	Matches: Conservative: Mismatches: Indels: Gaps: (1 (1-3010) (hrMetGlyGlyAlaAla) (CCATGGGCGGCGCTGCG) (ysGlyTyrValLeuCys (                 GCGGCTACGTGCTGTGG (hrGlyAlaValLeuPhe (                 CCGGTGCCGTGCTCTCG	461 0 0 0 0 0 0 aGlnLeuGluAspArg                CCAACTTGAGGACCGG  SThrValLeuLeuAla               CACCGTGCTGCTGGCC  ELEUASnHisAlaHis.                CCTGAACCACGCCCACC	

QY	101	l beutnikspsetrhektaktgbeudtusetktadthktasetvatbeudthktabeutni 	
Db	301	CTCACCGACAGCTTCGCACGCCTGGAGAGCGCCCAGGCCTCGGTGCTGCAGGCGCTGACA	
Qy	121	GluHisGlnAlaGlnProArgLeuValGlyAspGlnGluGlnGluLeuLeuAspThrLeu	140
Db	361	GAGCACCAGGCCAGCCACGGCTGGTGGGCGACCAGGAGCAGGAGCTGCTGGACACGCTC	420
Qу	141	AlaAspGlnLeuProArgLeuLeuAlaArgAlaSerGluLeuGlnThrGluCysMetGly	160
Db	421	. GCCGACCAGCTGCCCGGCTGCTGGCCCGAGCCTCAGAGCTGCAGACGGAGTGCATGGG	480
Qy	161	LeuArgLysGlyHisGlyThrLeuGlyGlnGlyLeuSerAlaLeuGlnSerGluGlnGly	180
Db	481	CTGCGGAAGGGCATGGCACGCTGGGCCAGGGCCTCAGCGCCCTGCAGAGTGAGCAGGGC	540
Qy	181	ArgLeuIleGlnLeuLeuSerGluSerGlnGlyHisMetAlaHisLeuValAsnSerVal	200
Db	541	CGCCTCATCCAGCTTCTCTCTGAGAGCCAGGGCCACATGGCTCACCTGGTGAACTCCGTC	600
Qу	201	SerAspIleLeuAspAlaLeuGlnArgAspArgGlyLeuGlyArgProArgAsnLysAla	220
Db		AGCGACATCCTGGATGCCCTGCAGAGGGCCCGGGGCCCGCAACAAGGCC	
Qу	221	AspLeuGlnArgAlaProAlaArgGlyThrArgProArgGlyCysAlaThrGlySerArg	240
Db	661	GACCTTCAGAGAGCGCCTGCCCGGGGAACCCCGGCCCCGGGGCTGTGCCACTGGCTCCCGG	720
Qy		ProArgAspCysLeuAspValLeuLeuSerGlyGlnGlnAspAspGlyValTyrSerVal	
Db		CCCCGAGACTGTCTGGACGTCCTCCTAAGCGGACAGCAGGACGATGGCGTCTACTCTGTC	
Qy		PheProThrHisTyrProAlaGlyPheGlnValTyrCysAspMetArgThrAspGlyGly	
Db		TTTCCCACCCACTACCCGGCCGGCTTCCAGGTGTACTGTGACATGCGCACGGACGG	
Qy		GlyTrpThrValPheGlnArgArgGluAspGlySerValAsnPhePheArgGlyTrpAsp	
Db		GGCTGGACGGTGTTTCAGCGCCGGGAGGACGGCTCCGTGAACTTCTTCCGGGGCTGGGAC	
Qy 		AlaTyrArgAspGlyPheGlyArgLeuThrGlyGluHisTrpLeuGlyLeuLysArgIle	
Db		GCGTACCGAGACGGCTTTGGCAGGCTCACCGGGGAGCACTGGCTAGGGCTCAAGAGGATC	
Qу		HisAlaLeuThrThrGlnAlaAlaTyrGluLeuHisValAspLeuGluAspPheGluAsn	
Db		CACGCCCTGACCACAGGCTGCCTACGAGCTGCACGTGGACCTGGAGGACTTTGAGAAT	
ОУ		GlyThrAlaTyrAlaArgTyrGlySerPheGlyValGlyLeuPheSerValAspProGlu	
Db		GGCACGGCCTATGCCCGCTACGGGAGCTTCGGCGTGGGCTTGTTCTCCGTGGACCCTGAG	
Qу		GluAspGlyTyrProLeuThrValAlaAspTyrSerGlyThrAlaGlyAspSerLeuLeu	
Db		GAAGACGGGTACCCGCTCACCGTGGCTGACTATTCCGGCACTGCAGGCGACTCCCTCC	
Qy		LysHisSerGlyMetArgPheThrThrLysAspArgAspSerAspHisSerGluAsnAsn	
Db		AAGCACAGCGGCATGAGGTTCACCACCAAGGACCGTGACAGCGACCATTCAGAGAACAAC	
Qy Dh		CysAlaAlaPheTyrArgGlyAlaTrpTrpTyrArgAsnCysHisThrSerAsnLeuAsn	
Db .	1201	${\tt TGTGCCGCCTTCTACCGCGGTGCCTGGTGGTACCGCAACTGCCACCGTCCAACCTCAAT}$	1260

# B contid

Qу	421	GlyGlnTyrLeuArgGlyAlaHisAlaSerTyrAlaAspGlyValGluTrpSerSerTrp	440
Db	1261	GGGCAGTACCTGCGCGCTGCGCACGCCTCCTATGCCGACGCGTGGAGTGGTCCTCCTGG	1320
Qу	441	ThrGlyTrpGlnTyrSerLeuLysPheSerGluMetLysIleArgProValArgGluAsp	460
Db	1321	ACCGGCTGGCAGTACTCACTCAAGTTCTCTGAGATGAAGATCCGGCCGG	1380
Qу	461	Arg 461	
Db	1381	CGC 1383	

AAB19732 standard; Protein; 269 AA. XX ACAAB19732; XX $\mathbf{DT}$ 19-FEB-2001 (first entry) XX DΕ Human SECX Clone 4437909.0.4 encoded protein. XX KW SECX; human; diagnosis; therapy; reproductive disorder; KW muscular disorder; immunological disorder; cancer; infection. XXos Homo sapiens. XX WO200061754-A2. PΝ XX PD 19-OCT-2000. XXΡF 07-APR-2000; 2000WO-US09392. XXPR 09-APR-1999; 99US-0128514. PR 03-MAR-2000; 2000US-0128514. XX PA (CURA-) CURAGEN CORP. XXÞΙ Fernandez E, Vernet C, Shimkets R; XXDR WPI; 2000-679487/66. DR. N-PSDB; AAA88801. xxPTSECX polypeptides and the nucleic acids that encode them, useful for PTdiagnosing, preventing and treating e.g. cancers, inflammation, PTarthritis and immunological disorders -XX PSClaim 1; Fig 13; 143pp; English. XX CC The present sequence is that of the protein encoded by novel SECX CC Clone 4437909.0.4 (see AAA88801). It is a microbody (peroxisome) associated protein expressed in osteogenic sarcoma cell lines, CC CC adrenal gland, thalamus, foetal brain and foetal lung. The CC invention provides novel SECX polynucleotides (see AAA88789-804) and CCthe secreted or membrane-associated proteins encoded by them (see CCAAB19720-34). SECX polynucleotides, polypeptides and antibodies can CCbe used in the detection, diagnosis and treatment (including gene therapy) of a broad range of pathological states. 4437909.0.4 CC CC protein shows similarity to human microfibril-associated glycoprotein CC 4 splice variant MAG4V and may therefore be useful for treating reproductive disorders (e.g. disruptions of the oestrus cycle and CC CC spermatogenesis, polycystic ovary syndrome and cancers of the prostate and ovary), muscular disorders (e.g. Duchenne's muscular CCdystrophy, lipid myopathy and myocarditis), immunological CC CC disorders (e.g. Addison's disease, asthma, anaemia and AIDS) and CC neoplastic disorders (e.g. myeloma, sarcoma, leukaemia and lung CC cancer). Similarity is also shown to human opsonin protein P35, CC suggesting use in the prevention and treatment of infectious diseases. A variant of 4437909.0.4 is given in AAB19733. CCXX

SO

Sequence

269 AA;

Query Match 60.5%; Score 1483; DB 21; Length 269; Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 6.1e-133; Matches 269; Conservative 0; Mismatches Indels 0; Qy 193 MAHLVNSVSDILDALQRDRGLGRPRNKADLQRAPARGTRPRGCATGSRPRDCLDVLLSGQ 252 Db 1 MAHLVNSVSDILDALQRDRGLGRPRNKADLQRAPARGTRPRGCATGSRPRDCLDVLLSGO 60 253 QDDGVYSVFPTHYPAGFQVYCDMRTDGGGWTVFQRREDGSVNFFRGWDAYRDGFGRLTGE 312 Qy 61 QDDGVYSVFPTHYPAGFQVYCDMRTDGGGWTVFQRREDGSVNFFRGWDAYRDGFGRLTGE 120 Db 313 HWLGLKRIHALTTQAAYELHVDLEDFENGTAYARYGSFGVGLFSVDPEEDGYPLTVADYS 372 Qу Db 373 GTAGDSLLKHSGMRFTTKDRDSDHSENNCAAFYRGAWWYRNCHTSNLNGQYLRGAHASYA 432 Qу 181 GTAGDSLLKHSGMRFTTKDRDSDHSENNCAAFYRGAWWYRNCHTSNLNGQYLRGAHASYA 240 Db 433 DGVEWSSWTGWQYSLKFSEMKIRPVREDR 461 Qу 241 DGVEWSSWTGWQYSLKFSEMKIRPVREDR 269 Db